



# ICLG

The International Comparative Legal Guide to:

## **Business Crime 2015**

**5th Edition**

A practical cross-border insight into business crime

Published by Global Legal Group, in association with CDR, with contributions from:

Anagnostopoulos Criminal Law & Litigation

BCL Burton Copeland

Baker & Partners

Blake Cassels & Graydon LLP

Bloomfield Advocates and Solicitors

CMS Reich-Rohrwig Hainz

Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

De Pedraza Abogados, S.L.P.

Diaz, Reus & Targ LLP

ELIG, Attorneys-at-Law

FTI Consulting

Geni & Kebe

Homburger

Ivanyan and Partners law firm

Kachwaha and Partners

Knierim | Huber Rechtsanwälte

Lampert & Schächle Attorneys at Law Ltd.

Latham & Watkins

Linklaters LLP

Maples and Calder

MJM Limited

Nishimura & Asahi

Pinsent Masons LLP

PORTOS ABOGADOS, S.C.

Rogério Alves & Associados, Sociedade de Advogados, RL

Simmons & Simmons LLP

Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP

Studio Legale Pisano

wkklaw attorneys at law

WTS Consulting, LLC

Zanoide de Moraes, Peresi

& Braun Advogados Associados



# GLG

Global Legal Group

## Contributing Editors

Gary DiBianco & Gary Rubin, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP

## Head of Business Development

Dror Levy

## Account Directors

Antony Dine,  
Florjan Osmani

## Senior Account Managers

Maria Lopez, Oliver Smith,  
Rory Smith

## Sales Support Manager

Toni Wyatt

## Sub Editor

Amy Hirst

## Senior Editor

Suzie Levy

## Group Consulting Editor

Alan Falach

## Group Publisher

Richard Firth

## Published by

Global Legal Group Ltd.  
59 Tanner Street  
London SE1 3PL, UK  
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720  
Fax: +44 20 7407 5255  
Email: info@glgroup.co.uk  
URL: www.glgroup.co.uk

## GLG Cover Design

F&F Studio Design

## GLG Cover Image Source

iStockphoto

## Printed by

Ashford Colour Press Ltd.  
October 2014

Copyright © 2014

Global Legal Group Ltd.  
All rights reserved  
No photocopying

ISBN 978-1-910083-18-5

ISSN 2043-9199

## Strategic Partners



## General Chapters:

1	<b>Past the Tipping Point: International Cooperation and Competition in Cross-Border Investigations –</b> Ryan Junck & Colin Forbes, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP	1
2	<b>Deferred Prosecution Agreements in the UK – A Legal Chimera?</b> – Richard Sallybanks & Shaul Brazil, BCL Burton Copeland	9
3	<b>Sentencing Council Guidelines</b> – Andrew Durant & Julian Glass, FTI Consulting	13
4	<b>White Collar Crime – is the UK Finally Getting Serious?</b> – Stephen Gentle, Simmons & Simmons LLP	19
5	<b>Advice of Counsel Defence</b> – Karolos Seeger & Sean Hecker, Debevoise & Plimpton LLP	24
6	<b>An Overview of Business Crime in Latin America</b> – Michael Diaz Jr. & Carlos F. Gonzalez, Diaz, Reus & Targ LLP	31

## Country Question and Answer Chapters:

7	<b>Austria</b>	wkklaw attorneys at law: Norbert Wess & Bernhard Kispert	35
8	<b>Belgium</b>	Linklaters LLP: Stefaan Loosveld & Gert-Jan Hendrix	45
9	<b>Bermuda</b>	MJM Limited: Andrew Martin & Jennifer Haworth	52
10	<b>Brazil</b>	Zanoide de Moraes, Peresi & Braun Advogados Associados: Maurício Zanoide de Moraes & Daniel Diez Castilho	59
11	<b>BVI</b>	Maples and Calder: Arabella di Iorio & David Welford	66
12	<b>Canada</b>	Blake Cassels & Graydon LLP: Ryder Gilliland & Max Shapiro	73
13	<b>Cayman Islands</b>	Maples and Calder: Martin Livingston & Adam Hucklee	80
14	<b>Colombia</b>	Diaz, Reus & Targ LLP: Michael Diaz Jr. & Marcela Cristina Blanco	89
15	<b>England &amp; Wales</b>	BCL Burton Copeland: Guy Bastable & Shaul Brazil	97
16	<b>Germany</b>	Knierim   Huber Rechtsanwälte: Hans-Peter Huber & Dr. Anna Oehmichen	105
17	<b>Greece</b>	Anagnostopoulos Criminal Law & Litigation: Ilias G. Anagnostopoulos & Jerina (Gerasimoula) Zapanti	113
18	<b>India</b>	Kachwaha and Partners: Ashok Sagar & Sumeet Kachwaha	122
19	<b>Italy</b>	Studio Legale Pisano: Roberto Pisano	131
20	<b>Japan</b>	Nishimura & Asahi: Yoshinori Ono & Norimitsu Yamamoto	140
21	<b>Jersey</b>	Baker & Partners: Stephen Baker & Cyril Whelan	151
22	<b>Liechtenstein</b>	Lampert & Schächle Attorneys at Law Ltd.: Siegbert Lampert & Rudolf Schächle	158
23	<b>Mexico</b>	PORTOS ABOGADOS, S.C.: José Manuel Portos Ubierna	165
24	<b>Nigeria</b>	Bloomfield Advocates and Solicitors: Adekunle Obebe & Dayo Adu	172
25	<b>Portugal</b>	Rogério Alves & Associados, Sociedade de Advogados, RL: Rogério Alves	178
26	<b>Russia</b>	Ivanyan and Partners law firm: Vasily Torkanovskiy	187
27	<b>Scotland</b>	Pinsent Masons LLP: Tom Stocker & Rhona Keith	198
28	<b>Senegal</b>	Geni & Kebe: Mouhamed Kebe & Papa Massal Sow	206
29	<b>Singapore</b>	Latham & Watkins: Ing Loong Yang & Tina Wang	213
30	<b>Slovenia</b>	CMS Reich-Rohrwig Hainz: Luka Fabiani	223
31	<b>Spain</b>	De Pedraza Abogados, S.L.P.: Mar de Pedraza & Cristina de Andrés	233
32	<b>Switzerland</b>	Homburger: Flavio Romerio & Roman Richers	247
33	<b>Turkey</b>	ELIG, Attorneys-at-Law: Gönenç Gürkaynak & Ç. Olgu Kama	257
34	<b>Ukraine</b>	WTS Consulting, LLC: Alexander Minin & Oleksiy Spivak	265
35	<b>USA</b>	Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP: Gary DiBianco & Gary A. Rubin	273

Further copies of this book and others in the series can be ordered from the publisher. Please call +44 20 7367 0720

## Disclaimer

This publication is for general information purposes only. It does not purport to provide comprehensive full legal or other advice. Global Legal Group Ltd. and the contributors accept no responsibility for losses that may arise from reliance upon information contained in this publication. This publication is intended to give an indication of legal issues upon which you may need advice. Full legal advice should be taken from a qualified professional when dealing with specific situations.

# Bermuda

Andrew Martin



Jennifer Haworth



MJM Limited

## 1 General Criminal Law Enforcement

### 1.1 What authorities can prosecute business crimes, and are there different enforcement authorities at the national and regional levels?

The Department of Prosecutions (“DPP”) is responsible for instituting and undertaking criminal proceedings in respect of all types of criminal offences including, business crimes, money laundering and terrorist financing in Bermuda. Business crimes are prosecuted by DPP on a national level only. Bermuda does not have any regional enforcement.

Counsel within the DPP also provide legal advice to the Police in relation to criminal investigations and the approval of criminal charges. The DPP is also responsible for initiating and conducting civil proceedings for the restraint, forfeiture and confiscation of the proceeds of criminal conduct.

### 1.2 If there are more than one set of enforcement agencies, please describe how decisions on which body will investigate and prosecute a matter are made.

The DPP is the sole prosecutorial agency for business crimes. However, the Bermuda Monetary Authority (“BMA”) and the Financial Intelligence Agency (“FIA”) assist with the detection and prevention of financial crime. Both the BMA and the FIA disseminate information to the Bermuda Police Service (“BPS”), who can then in turn pass it on to the DPP for prosecution.

### 1.3 Is there any civil or administrative enforcement against business crimes? If so, what agencies enforce the laws civilly and which crimes do they combat?

Yes, the Bermuda Monetary Authority (“BMA”) is the regulatory authority which enforces civil penalties for business crimes. The BMA also represents the administrative enforcement of business crimes. The relevant statutes that the BMA enforce are: the Bank and Deposit Companies Amendment Act 2012; the Corporate Service Providers Business Act 2012; the Insurance Amendment Act 2012; Investment Business Amendment Act 2012; the Proceeds of Crime Amendment Act 2013; the Proceeds of Crime Regulations (Supervision and Amendment) Act 2008; and the Trusts Regulation of Business (Amendment) Act 2012.

## 2 Organisation of the Courts

### 2.1 How are the criminal courts in Bermuda structured? Are there specialised criminal courts for particular crimes?

Bermuda’s court system is comprised of the Magistrates’ Court, Supreme Court, Commercial Court, the Court of Appeal and the Privy Council in the United Kingdom (“UK”). The Magistrates’ Court can hear business crime matters if the offence is punishable on summary conviction. Any offence that is indictable must be tried in the Supreme Court. The Commercial Court of Bermuda hears civil commercial matters only. Decisions of the Magistrates’ Court can be appealed to the Supreme Court and matters within the Supreme Court can be appealed to the Court of Appeal. The highest court of appeal for Bermuda is the Privy Council.

Other than the particular types of offences listed above, Bermuda does not have any other specialised courts to deal with particular crimes.

### 2.2 Is there a right to a jury in business-crime trials?

Yes. All criminal matters tried in the Supreme Court are tried by a Judge and a Jury. The Magistrates’ Court does not have jury trials. The accused has the option to elect to have the case tried by a jury on indictment rather than have it heard summarily within the Magistrates’ Court. If the accused chooses to have the case tried by a jury on indictment the case proceeds to the Supreme Court for trial.

## 3 Particular Statutes and Crimes

### 3.1 Please describe any statutes that are commonly used in Bermuda to prosecute business crimes, including the elements of the crimes and the requisite mental state of the accused:

The primary legislation setting out the elements of business crimes are set out in the Criminal Code Act 1907 (the “Code”).

#### o Fraud and misrepresentation in connection with sales of securities

Section 404 of the Code: fraudulent inducement to invest or deposit. Any person who makes any statement, promise or forecast which he knows to be misleading, false or deceptive or by dishonest concealment of material facts, or by his reckless making, induces or

attempts to induce another person to invest money with him or any other person, or to enter into or offer to enter into any agreement for that purpose shall be guilty of an offence.

Section 405 of the Code: a person who knowingly or recklessly makes a statement, promise or forecast that is misleading false or deceptive in a material particular, or who conceals any material facts for the purposes of inducing another person to enter into or to refrain from entering into a relevant agreement, or inducing another person to exercise any rights conferred by a relevant investment, is guilty of an offence. A relevant agreement is an agreement entering into or performance of an investment activity as defined by the Investment Business Act 2003.

#### o Accounting fraud

Section 395 (1)(b)(i-iii) of the Code: frauds by officials of corporations and companies. Any Director, Officer or Member of a company who, destroys, alters, mutilates or falsifies, or is privy to making, any false entry or is privy to omitting any material particular into any such book, document, or account among other things, which belongs to the company, with the intent to defraud, is guilty of a misdemeanour.

Section 351 of the Code: false accounting. Where a person dishonestly, with a view to gain for himself or another, with intent to cause loss to another, falsified any record, or knowingly makes use of any falsified record, he shall be guilty of an offence. It should be noted that liability under this section extends to consent or involvement of an officer of the company, as set out in question 4.2 below.

#### o Insider trading

Section 405 of the Code: insider dealing. When an individual has insider information in relation to price-affected investments that he deals, and that information is used to acquire or dispose of the investment on a recognised investment exchange, he is guilty of insider dealing. He is also guilty if he uses that information to encourage another person dealing with price-affected investments to acquire or dispose of the investment in relation to that information, whether or not the other person knows that the information is insider information. Or in general, if a person having inside information discloses that information otherwise than in the proper performance of the functions of his employment, to another person.

#### o Embezzlement

Section 395 (1)(a) and 394 of the Code: frauds by officials of corporations and companies. Any Director, or Officer of a company, who receives or possesses any of the property of the company otherwise than in payment of a just debt or demand, with the intent to defraud, who does not make a full and true entry in the books and accounts of the company is guilty of a misdemeanour. Additionally, any fraudulent disposal of trust property by a trustee, who destroys the property with the intent to defraud or converts the property to any uses not authorised by the trust, is guilty of a misdemeanour.

#### o Bribery of government officials

Corrupting or threatening a holder of a judicial office or law enforcement official: any person who attempts by threats or intimidation of any kind, or by benefits or promises of benefit of any kind, or by other corrupt means, to influence any person, whether a particular person or not, in his conduct as a holder of a judicial office or law enforcement official is guilty of an offence.

Extortion by public officers: any person who, being employed in the public service, takes or accepts from any person, for the performance of his duty as such officer, any reward beyond his proper pay and emoluments or any promise of such reward, is guilty of an offence.

The (“GGA”) Good Governance Act 2012 extends to the corruption and bribery of public officials. A Member of Parliament, Senator or any person who is elected or appointed to any public authority commits an offence if he attempts to influence the awarding of a government contract, regardless of whether he has any interest in any of the persons bidding for the contract.

#### o Criminal anti-competition

Section 393 of the Code: conspiracy to defraud. Any person who conspires with another person by deceit or any fraudulent means, to affect the market price of anything publicly sold, or to defraud the public or any person, or to extort any property from any person is guilty of a misdemeanour.

#### o Tax crimes

The Taxes Management Act 1976 and the Stamp Duties Act 1976, have provision for corporate tax evasion and state that any person who, by any wilful act or wilful default or by any art or contrivance whatever evades or attempts to evade payment of tax is guilty of an offence. The Taxes Management Act 1976 also extends liability to officers of the company if it is proved that the offence was committed with the consent or connivance of one of the officers, he, as well as the company or other body corporate shall be guilty of that offence and be liable to be proceeded against accordingly.

#### o Government-contracting fraud

This is not applicable – aside from the crime of bribery of government officials.

#### o Environmental crimes

The Code does have provisions relating to the damaging of trees, shrubs, plants, fruits and vegetables or for killing or injuring animals. The accused must be found to have committed the crime wilfully. There are no specific provisions relating to a company carrying out the crime.

#### o Campaign-finance/election law

None – but note the provisions in relation to corrupt practices below.

#### o Any other crime of particular interest in Bermuda – Corrupt Practices

Section 392 of the Code: it is an offence for any person, who being an agent, corruptly accepts or obtains from any person for himself or any other person, any gift or consideration, as an inducement for doing or not doing, or for having done or not done, any act, or for showing or not showing favour or disfavour to any person in relation to his principals, affairs or business. Additionally, it is also an offence for any person to corruptly give or agree to give any gift or consideration to any agent as an inducement. And any person who knowingly gives to any agent or who being an agent, knowingly uses intent to deceive his principal, any receipt, account or other document in respect of which the principal is interested, containing a statement that is false or erroneous in any material particular, which to his knowledge is intended to mislead the principal. An agent includes any persons employed by or acting for another person and any person serving under the Crown or under any municipal corporation.

#### o Market manipulation in connection with the sale of derivatives

Section 405A of the Code: market manipulation. Any person who does any act or engages in any course of conduct which creates a false or misleading impression as to the market in or the price or value of any relevant investments is guilty of an offence, if he does so for the purpose of creating that impression and of thereby inducing another person to acquire or dispose of an investment. It is a defence to show that he reasonably believed that his conduct



would not create an impression that was false or misleading. The act, or course of conduct must be engaged in Bermuda or the false or misleading impression is created in Bermuda. Also see the section on criminal anti-competition above.

### 3.2 Is there liability for inchoate crimes in Bermuda? Can a person be liable for attempting to commit a crime, whether or not the attempted crime is completed?

Yes, the Code has provisions relating to attempts to commit offences. When a person, intending to commit an offence, begins to put his intention into execution by means adapted to its fulfilment, and manifests his intention by some overt act, but does not fulfil his intention to such an extent as to commit the offence, he is said to attempt to commit the offence. It is irrelevant whether the offender completes the commission of the offence, or whether the complete fulfilment of his intention is prevented by circumstances independent of his will, or whether he desists of his own motion from the further prosecution of his intention. It is also immaterial that by reason of circumstances not known to the offender it is impossible to commit the offence.

Additionally, there is provision for an attempt to procure the commission of an offence.

## 4 Corporate Criminal Liability

### 4.1 Is there entity liability for criminal offences? If so, under what circumstances will an employee's conduct be imputed to the entity?

A corporation that is convicted of an offence is liable, *in lieu* of any imprisonment that is prescribed as punishment for that offence, to be fined (except where otherwise provided) with the sum not exceeding \$20,000 for a summary offence (i.e. tried in the Magistrates' Court), or a fine at the discretion of the court for an indictable offence (i.e. tried in the Supreme Court or any appeal court).

### 4.2 Is there personal liability for managers, officers, and directors if the entity becomes liable for a crime?

Where an offence is committed by a body corporate to obtain property by deception or obtain pecuniary advantage by deception or false accounting, and is proved to have been committed with the consent or connivance of any director, manager, secretary or other similar office of the body corporate, or any person who was purporting to act in any such capacity, the individual, as well as the body corporate, shall be guilty of that offence and shall be liable to be prosecuted and punished accordingly. (The Code section 352.) There is a similar general provision found in section 73 of the Investment Funds Act 2006. Also, if any member of a partnership, corporation or any association recognised as a company by the Companies Act 1981 (an "Entity"), does any act or makes any omission with respect to the property of the Entity, which if he were not a member of the Entity would constitute an offence, is criminally responsible to the same extent as if he were not such a member.

### 4.3 Where there is entity liability and personal liability, do the authorities have a policy or preference as to when to pursue an entity, when to pursue an individual, or both?

The decision of when to pursue an entity, when to pursue an individual or both will be taken on a case-by-case basis by the authorities.

## 5 Statutes of Limitations

### 5.1 How are enforcement-limitations periods calculated, and when does a limitations period begin running?

These run from the date of the offence.

### 5.2 Can crimes occurring outside the limitations period be prosecuted if they are part of a pattern or practice, or ongoing conspiracy?

No, they cannot.

### 5.3 Can the limitations period be tolled? If so, how?

No, it cannot.

## 6 Initiation of Investigations

### 6.1 How are investigations initiated? Are there any rules or guidelines governing the government's initiation of any investigation? If so, please describe them.

An investigation may be commenced if the authorities receive a report that a crime has been committed. Generally such a report will be made to the Bermuda Police, the FIA or the relevant regulatory authority. In the case of offences relating to government contracts, the GGA provides that "whistle-blowers" may also make protected disclosures to public officials such as the Auditor General, the Accountant General and the Director of Project Management and Procurement.

At the time of writing, the only publicly available guidance relating to the enforcement of business crime has been issued by the BMA – "Statement of Principles on the Use of Enforcement Powers", published in December 2012: <http://www.bma.bm/document-centre/policy-and-guidance/TRUST%20II/Statement%20of%20Principles%20on%20the%20Use%20of%20Enforcement%20Powers.pdf>.

"Statement of Principles in respect of the Proceeds of Crime (Anti-money Laundering and Anti-Terrorist Financing Supervision and Enforcement) Act 2008", published in October 2010: [http://www.bma.bm/document-centre/policy-and-guidance/AMLATF/Statement%20of%20Principles%20-%20Proceeds%20of%20Crime%20\(Anti-Money%20Laundering%20and%20Anti-Terrorist%20Financing%20Supervision%20and%20Enforcement.pdf](http://www.bma.bm/document-centre/policy-and-guidance/AMLATF/Statement%20of%20Principles%20-%20Proceeds%20of%20Crime%20(Anti-Money%20Laundering%20and%20Anti-Terrorist%20Financing%20Supervision%20and%20Enforcement.pdf).

### 6.2 Do the criminal authorities have formal and/or informal mechanisms for cooperating with foreign prosecutors? Do they cooperate with foreign prosecutors?

The Bermuda authorities cooperate with foreign prosecuting authorities. The formal mechanisms for cooperating with foreign prosecuting authorities in criminal matters include the Criminal Justice (International Cooperation) Act 1994 and the network of mutual legal assistance agreements entered into by Bermuda with the UK's approval under that statute. Bermuda will also provide information relevant to foreign taxation authorities under the International Co-Operation (Tax Information Agreements) Act 2005, without any requirement that the requesting party shall be a prosecuting authority. The UK also has the ability to exercise its

power of extradition in appropriate cases, by virtue of the UK's Extradition Acts 1870 and 1873, Bermuda's Extradition Act 1877 and various orders made thereunder.

The U.S.A.-Bermuda Tax Convention Act 1986 is the only treaty entered into by Bermuda relating to the exchange of tax information with the United States in criminal tax matters. Bermuda has also entered into an MLAT with the United States which extends beyond tax matters.

## 7 Procedures for Gathering Information from a Company

### 7.1 What powers does the government have generally to gather information when investigating business crimes?

Where the business crime is a regulatory offence, the BMA has wide powers to require a financial institution to provide documents and information relevant to the BMA's functions as regulator, including an explanation of any documents so provided. The BMA may also exercise similar powers at the request of an overseas regulatory authority.

The Police may obtain search and seizure warrants under POCA, Anti Terrorism Laws, regulatory laws and the Criminal Code in order to obtain information and documentation. Under POCA the Police also have power to obtain monitoring orders and production orders.

Where the request is made by a foreign authority pursuant to a MLAT, the Attorney General may subject to legal professional privilege require any person in Bermuda to be deposed and to give evidence and produce documents. The Attorney General may also assist in the enforcement of a foreign forfeiture order, and may order the production of any item or issue a warrant for the search of premises and the seizure of such item.

### Document Gathering:

### 7.2 Under what circumstances can the government demand that a company under investigation produce documents to the government, and under what circumstances can the government raid a company under investigation and seize documents?

For the government's powers to enter premises, please see the answer to question 7.1 above. The regulatory laws generally confer authority on a Magistrate to issue a warrant to the Police to search premises for the purposes of obtaining information or documents.

Similarly POCA and ATFM confer power on a Magistrate to issue warrants to the Police to search premises and take possession of documents and to require any person to answer questions.

### 7.3 Are there any protections against production or seizure that the company can assert for any types of documents? For example, does Bermuda recognise any privileges protecting documents prepared by attorneys or communications with attorneys? Do Bermuda's labour laws protect personal documents of employees, even if located in company files?

Legal professional privilege may be invoked as a justification for refusing to produce documents to the BMA.

Legal professional privilege may also be invoked in response to requests for documents or information made by the attorney general at the request of a foreign state under the criminal justice (international cooperation) act or any MLAT entered into by Bermuda with a foreign state pursuant to that act.

There are currently no laws of general application relating to data protection and Bermuda law does not provide any special protection for the personal documents of employees.

### 7.4 Under what circumstances can the government demand that a company employee produce documents to the government, or raid the home or office of an employee and seize documents?

Please see the answer to question 7.1. The BMA or the Police would need to obtain a warrant before searching a company employee's office or home.

### 7.5 Under what circumstances can the government demand that a third person produce documents to the government, or raid the home or office of a third person and seize documents?

Please see the answer to question 7.4 above.

### Questioning of Individuals:

### 7.6 Under what circumstances can the government demand that an employee, officer, or director of a company under investigation submit to questioning? In what forum can the questioning take place?

The BMA may arrange for the questioning of persons associated with a licensed entity (including the entity's auditors and lawyers) to be questioned during the course of an official investigation. It is an offence to refuse to produce documents or to answer questions. However no statements given by a person in the course of such an examination may be used in evidence against him, and a person may decline to produce documents or answer questions on the grounds of legal professional privilege. Where there is an investigation by the Police, the Police have similar powers but the exercise of the powers is subject to the procedural safeguards in PACE 2005.

### 7.7 Under what circumstances can the government demand that a third person submit to questioning? In what forum can the questioning take place?

Please see the answer to question 7.6.

### 7.8 What protections can a person being questioned by the government assert? Is there a right to refuse to answer the government's questions? Is there a right to be represented by an attorney during questioning?

Section 59 of PACE provides that a person who is arrested is not obliged to say anything. Where a person has been arrested, he is entitled to have an attorney present during questioning.

## 8 Initiation of Prosecutions / Deferred Prosecution / Civil Dispositions

### 8.1 How are criminal cases initiated?

The procedure for the initiation of criminal cases is set out in Part XXIV of the CC. In practice, this is generally done by charging the defendant at a police station and bringing him before the Magistrate. Alternatively, a warrant may be issued for the arrest of the defendant.

### 8.2 Are there any rules or guidelines governing the government's decision to charge an entity or individual with a crime? If so, please describe them.

Please see the answer to question 6.1.

### 8.3 Can a defendant and the government agree to resolve a criminal investigation through pretrial diversion or an agreement to defer prosecution? If so, please describe any rules or guidelines governing whether pretrial diversion or deferred prosecution are available to dispose of criminal investigations.

Pre-trial diversion is not generally available and is currently limited to addiction-related crimes. Bermuda does not have a legislative framework for deferred prosecution agreements.

### 8.4 In addition to or instead of any criminal disposition to an investigation, can a defendant be subject to any civil penalties or remedies? If so, please describe the circumstances under which civil penalties or remedies are appropriate.

The BMA's "statement of principles" referenced in question 6.1 above states that the decision to impose a civil penalty will be influenced by the resources of the licensed entity, the need to deter the licensed entity and others from similar practices and the past enforcement practice of the BMA.

The BMA may impose civil penalties or remedies. The sanctions imposed by the BMA may include public censure and an order that the person sanctioned is prohibited from carrying on regulated activity.

A defendant can be subject to both criminal and civil sanctions imposed by the BMA. The imposition of criminal sanctions does not preclude the imposition of civil penalties. Where the criminal process does not result in a conviction, the BMA may nevertheless publicly censure the defendant and/or make a prohibition order.

## 9 Burden of Proof

### 9.1 For each element of the business crimes identified above, which party has the burden of proof? Which party has the burden of proof with respect to any affirmative defences?

The general rule in criminal matters is that the burden is on the prosecution to establish each element of the offence. In the case of civil penalties imposed by the BMA, the burden of proof is on the defendant to show that the defendant took all reasonable steps and exercised all due diligence.

### 9.2 What is the standard of proof that the party with the burden must satisfy?

For criminal offences the standard of proof is "beyond all reasonable doubt". In the case of civil offences, the standard of proof is on a "balance of probabilities".

### 9.3 In a criminal trial, who is the arbiter of fact? Who determines whether the party has satisfied its burden of proof?

In the Magistrates' Court, the Magistrate determines matters of fact and law. In the case of a trial on indictment, a jury will be the arbiter of fact. In the case of a civil offence, the BMA will be the arbiter of fact. There is a right of appeal to a tribunal against the imposition of a civil penalty.

## 10 Conspiracy / Aiding and Abetting

### 10.1 Can a person who conspires with or assists another to commit a crime be liable? If so, what is the nature of the liability and what are the elements of the offence?

The CC provides that every person who actually commits the act or makes the omission which constitutes the offence, or who enables or aids another person to commit the offence or who counsels or procures any person to commit the offence shall be deemed to have taken part in committing the offence and may be charged with ultimately committing it. A person who with knowledge assists another person to escape punishment is an accessory after the fact.

The elements of conspiracy are a common intention between two or more persons to carry out an unlawful purpose. Where an offence is committed in the carrying out of the unlawful purpose that is a probable consequence of the unlawful purpose, then each such person is deemed to have committed the offence. The money-laundering offences created by POCA and the anti-terrorism offences created by the ATFM do not rely upon the CC, and accessory liability is dealt with directly in the definition of the relevant offences.

## 11 Common Defences

### 11.1 Is it a defence to a criminal charge that the defendant did not have the requisite intent to commit the crime? If so, who has the burden of proof with respect to intent?

Except in the case of strict liability offences, the burden is on the prosecution to establish both elements of the offence, namely the facts giving rise to the offence as well as the necessary intention on the part of the defendant to carry out the relevant acts. However, there are some crimes in which the statute reverses the burden of proof to require the defendant to demonstrate on a balance of probability that he or she did not have the requisite intent to commit the crime. For example, where a defendant is charged with providing false or misleading information to a regulatory authority, the burden is on the defendant to show that he or she did not intentionally mislead or intend to mislead the regulator.

**11.2 Is it a defence to a criminal charge that the defendant was ignorant of the law i.e. that he did not know that his conduct was unlawful? If so, what are the elements of this defence, and who has the burden of proof with respect to the defendant's knowledge of the law?**

It is not a defence to a criminal charge that the defendant was ignorant of the law. This may be a factor which may be taken into account when considering the appropriate sentence.

**11.3 Is it a defence to a criminal charge that the defendant was ignorant of the facts i.e. that he did not know that he had engaged in conduct that he knew was unlawful? If so, what are the elements of this defence, and who has the burden of proof with respect to the defendant's knowledge of the facts?**

It is not generally a defence to a criminal charge that the defence was ignorant of the facts. However it may be a defence if the defendant was not aware of the facts such that he or she did not have the necessary mental element to commit the offence.

## 12 Voluntary Disclosure Obligations

**12.1 If a person becomes aware that a crime has been committed, must the person report the crime to the government? Can the person be liable for failing to report the crime to the government?**

In some limited circumstances there may be a positive legal obligation to disclose that a crime has been committed, (for example: money laundering or terrorist financing).

## 13 Cooperation Provisions / Leniency

**13.1 If a person voluntarily discloses criminal conduct to the government or cooperates in a government criminal investigation of the person, can the person request leniency from the government? If so, what rules or guidelines govern the government's ability to offer leniency in exchange for voluntary disclosures or cooperation?**

No. In limited circumstances "whistle-blowers" may be excused from personal liability under the Good Governance Act 2011 which amends the Employment Act 2000 with the insertion of section 29A and the Good Governance Act 2012.

**13.2 Describe the extent of cooperation, including the steps that an entity would take, that is generally required of entities seeking leniency in Bermuda, and describe the favourable treatment generally received.**

This is not applicable.

## 14 Plea Bargaining

**14.1 Can a defendant voluntarily decline to contest criminal charges in exchange for a conviction on reduced charges, or in exchange for an agreed upon sentence?**

A defendant may plead guilty to an offence in exchange for conviction of a lesser charge. Although the prosecution may agree

a recommendation for sentence, the sentence is always within the discretion and power of the court and the prosecution cannot bind the court to give a particular sentence. The prosecutor's recommendation will however be taken into account by the court.

**14.2 Please describe any rules or guidelines governing the government's ability to plea bargain with a defendant. Must any aspects of the plea bargain be approved by the court?**

See question 14.1 above.

## 15 Elements of a Corporate Sentence

**15.1 After the court determines that a defendant is guilty of a crime, are there any rules or guidelines governing the court's imposition of sentence on the defendant? Please describe the sentencing process.**

Sentencing is a separate aspect of the criminal trial process often involving separate sentencing hearings after investigation into the personal circumstances of the defendant. Sentencing guidelines are developed through case law principles and practice of the courts, and there may be certain interview criteria for sentencing imposed in the relevant statute. The Criminal Code provides that imprisonment should be the sentence of last resort after all other potential punishments have been considered inadequate.

**15.2 Before imposing a sentence on a corporation, must the court determine whether the sentence satisfies any elements? If so, please describe those elements.**

See section four above.

## 16 Appeals

**16.1 Is a guilty or a non-guilty verdict appealable by either the defendant or the government?**

A guilty verdict is appealable by the defendant. A non-guilty verdict is not appealable by the crown.

**16.2 Is a criminal sentence following a guilty verdict appealable? If so, which party may appeal?**

Both the prosecution and the defendant may appeal a criminal sentence but the court will only set aside a side of a lower court if it considers the punishment to be manifestly inadequate.

**16.3 What is the appellate court's standard of review?**

Appeal *de novo*.

**16.4 If the appellate court upholds the appeal, what powers does it have to remedy any injustice by the trial court?**

The appellate court may quash the conviction of the defendant or substitute a different sentence in relation to an appeal against sentence and increase the sentence, but there is no remedy for injustice by the trial court.



## Acknowledgment

The authors would like to acknowledge the assistance of their colleague Peter Martin in the preparation of this chapter.



**Andrew Martin**

MJM Limited  
Thistle House  
4 Burnaby Street  
Hamilton HM 11  
Bermuda

Tel: +44 1 294 3622  
Fax: +44 1 292 9151  
Email: [amartin@mjm.bm](mailto:amartin@mjm.bm)  
URL: [www.mjm.bm](http://www.mjm.bm)

Andrew Martin's practice includes complex international corporate dispute resolution, commercial litigation and arbitration, insolvency, corporate reconstruction, substantial trust litigation and complex employment matters. He has appeared in a number of significant cases in Bermuda, featuring company and commercial law issues, trust and insolvency issues. He has been involved in a number of schemes of arrangement for multinational companies and has advised creditors, secured lenders and insolvents and court-appointed liquidators. Andrew served as managing director of the firm from 1998 to 2003.



**Jennifer Haworth**

MJM Limited  
Thistle House  
4 Burnaby Street  
Hamilton HM 11  
Bermuda

Tel: +44 1 294 3615  
Fax: +44 1 292 0756  
Email: [jhaworth@mjm.bm](mailto:jhaworth@mjm.bm)  
URL: [www.mjm.bm](http://www.mjm.bm)

Jennifer has a broad practice encompassing all aspects of civil and commercial litigation as a member of the firm's Litigation & Dispute Resolution team. She routinely advises both local and international clients on insolvency, banking, employment, construction and public law matters. She appears in Bermuda's courts as well as in mediation and arbitration. Jennifer is also a presenter, giving talks to various organisations on legal topics of interest.



BARRISTERS  
& ATTORNEYS

Formed on July 1, 1990, we are proud of our well established track record serving local and international clients in our jurisdiction. We have a broad ranging practice with an emphasis on civil and commercial litigation, banking and finance, general corporate, trusts, property, insolvency and restructuring. We also offer advice and services to international individual and commercial private clients.

MJM is regularly retained by leading international law firms. We offer practical, common sense advice based on an in-depth knowledge on the legal, regulatory and commercial environment in Bermuda. We also offer a high degree of Director involvement in the work that we do. Each practice area is led by a Director who is recognised as a leading practitioner in Bermuda in their respective field of specialisation by Chambers Global. Our collective knowledge and experience across practice areas enables us to offer a comprehensive and thorough service to our clients.

## Current titles in the ICLG series include:

- Alternative Investment Funds
- Aviation Law
- Business Crime
- Cartels & Leniency
- Class & Group Actions
- Competition Litigation
- Construction & Engineering Law
- Copyright
- Corporate Governance
- Corporate Immigration
- Corporate Recovery & Insolvency
- Corporate Tax
- Data Protection
- Employment & Labour Law
- Environment & Climate Change Law
- Franchise
- Gambling
- Insurance & Reinsurance
- International Arbitration
- Lending & Secured Finance
- Litigation & Dispute Resolution
- Merger Control
- Mergers & Acquisitions
- Mining Law
- Oil & Gas Regulation
- Patents
- Pharmaceutical Advertising
- Private Client
- Product Liability
- Project Finance
- Public Procurement
- Real Estate
- Securitisation
- Shipping Law
- Telecoms, Media & Internet
- Trade Marks



59 Tanner Street, London SE1 3PL, United Kingdom  
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720 / Fax: +44 20 7407 5255  
Email: [sales@glgroup.co.uk](mailto:sales@glgroup.co.uk)

[www.iclg.co.uk](http://www.iclg.co.uk)